

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
'Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,001	09/22/2003	Shinichiro Koto	243003US-2SRDCONT	4606	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 12/27/2006 AK, MCCLELLAND, M	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE S	TREET	HUBER, JEREMIAH C			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	2621			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 12/27/2006			PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/665,00	11	KOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeremiah (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 136(a). In no eve will apply and wi e, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		—. s action is n	on-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi		,						
	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 27 and 28 is/are pending in the appli							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	on Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is	/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) object	ted to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•		•					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Multiple</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 63, 72, 83, 90-92, 104, 105, 116, 150, 250, 505-508, 702 and 812. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Astle (5703966) in view of Kato (6415055).

In regard to claim 27 Astle discloses a method for encoding and decoding video including:

receiving encoded motion vector data, encoded predictive mode information, and an encoded prediction error signal (Astle Fig. 2 note receiver receiving encoded signals);

selecting, in accordance with the motion vector data and the predictive mode information, one from (a) generation of a predictive macroblock from a specific reference frame of the plurality of reference frames, (b) generation of a plurality of macroblocks from the plurality of frames so as to generate an average value of the plurality of reference frames as a predictive macroblock, or (c) generation of a predictive macroblock by a linear extrapolation prediction or linear interpolation prediction (Astle Fig. 4 and col. 8 line 40 to col. 9 line 13 note Astle discloses that a B macroblock can be predicted from (a) a specific one of a forward and backward reference, or (b) the average of the forward and backward reference, also col. 11 line 63 to col. 12 line 8

Application/Control Number: 10/665,001

Art Unit: 2621

discloses (c) macroblocks interpolated at intervals between the forward and backward references other than halfway between which is linear interpolation); and

generating a decoded frame by adding the generated predictive macroblock and the predictive error signal (Astle col. 8 lines 50 to 55).

Astle further discloses transmitting predictive mode information (Astle col. 8 lines 50 to 55). It is noted that Astle does not disclose details including first and second flags. However, the use of flags to indicate coding modes was common and notoriously well known in the art at the time of the invention, as an example Kato discloses transmitting a motion compensation mode 'flag' and an error flag to indicate using either a specific reference, or a bidirectional average (Kato col. 21 line1 to col. 22 line 5). It is therefore considered obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have recognized the advantage of using flags to indicate the encoding mode in Astle as was well known in the art, in order to communicate the coding mode in a manner that requires little computation to decode.

In regard to claim 28 refer to the statements made in the rejection of claim 27 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremiah C. Huber whose telephone number is (571)272-5248. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/665,001 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremiah C Huber Examiner Art Unit 2621

YOUNG LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER